



Docket No.: 0230-0183P  
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:  
Tomoru TERUUCHI et al.

Application No.: 10/052,256

Group Art Unit: 1933

Filed: January 23, 2002

Examiner: C. T. Nguyen

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR  
ATTACHING ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE TO  
DOCUMENT HAVING STRUCTURE

L E T T E R

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Sir:

Further to the Amendment filed June 14, 2005, Applicant is enclosing herewith a verified translation of the priority document (16155/2001) perfecting the claim of priority.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: June 30, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Michael K. Mutter

Registration No.: 29,680  
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP  
8110 Gatehouse Rd  
Suite 100 East  
P.O. Box 747  
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747  
(703) 205-8000  
Attorneys for Applicant



VERIFICATION OF A TRANSLATION

I, the below named translator, hereby declare that:

My name and post office address are as stated below:

That I am knowledgeable in the English language and in the language in which the below identified application was filed, and that I believe the English translation of the Japanese Patent Application No. 16155/2001 is a true and complete translation of the above-identified Japanese Patent Application as filed.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Date

17th day of June, 2005

Full name of the translator Toshiki IDE

Signature of the translator 

Post Office Address c/o YUASA AND HARA, Section 206,  
New Otemachi Bldg., 2-1, Otemachi  
2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, JAPAN

[Name of Document] Patent Application  
[Case No] 001873  
[Filing Date] January 24, 2001  
[To] Commissioner, Patent Office  
[International Patent Classification]  
C06F 11/00

[Inventor]

[Address] 29-10-501, Sakuragaoka-cho,  
Shibuya-ku, Tokyo

[Name] Tomoru TERUUCHI

[Applicant for Patent]

[Identification No.] 500565917

[Appellation] K-PLEX INC.

[Proxy]

[Identification No.] 100089705

[Address] YUASA AND HARA, Section 206,  
New Otemachi Bldg., 2-1,  
Otemachi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo

[Patent Attorney]

[Name] Ichio SHAMOTO

[Telephone] 03-3270-6641

[Proxy]

[Identification No.] 100071124

[Patent Attorney]

[Name] Shosuke IMAI

[Proxy]

[Identification No.] 100076691

[Patent Attorney]

[Name] Chuji MASUI

[Proxy]

[Identification No.] 100075270

[Patent Attorney]

[Name] Yasushi KOBAYASHI

[Proxy]

[Identification No.] 100096013

[Patent Attorney]

[Name] Hiroyuki TOMITA

[Fees]

[Page No. of Books] 051806

[Amount] ¥21,000.-

[List of the Documents]

[Item]	Specification	1
[Item]	Drawings	1
[Item]	Abstract	1

[Proof, requested or not] requested

[Name of Document] Specification

[Title of the Invention]

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ATTACHING

ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE TO DOCUMENT HAVING STRUCTURE

[Claims]

[Claim 1] An electronic signature method comprising the steps of:

analyzing a target document to generate a representation having a structure;

generating an electronic signature from each of the generated structural elements; and

concatenating the generated electronic signatures into a single signature corresponding to the structure.

[Claim 2] An electronic signature method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of setting a level of structural elements of the document, whereby precision of reliability judgment of a document with an electronic signature can be varied.

[Claim 3] An electronic signature method according to claim 1 or 2, wherein a rate of coincidence between the target document and the target document with an electronic signature is found from a rate of structural elements having authenticated electronic signatures to the whole structure.

[Claim 4] A method according to claim 1, 2 or 3, wherein said concatenating step includes putting the generated electronic signatures in a row.

[Claim 5] An electronic signature apparatus

comprising:

means for analyzing a target document to generate a representation having a structure;

means for generating an electronic signature from each of the structural elements; and

means for concatenating the generated electronic signatures into a single signature corresponding to the structure.

[Claim 6] An electronic signature apparatus according to claim 5, wherein a level of structural elements of the document can be set by said means for generating an electronic signature, whereby precision of reliability judgement of a document with an electronic signature can be varied.

[Claim 7] An electronic signature apparatus according to claim 5 or 6, wherein said means for concatenating puts the generated electronic signatures in a row.

[Claim 8] An electronic signature apparatus according to claim 5, 6 or 7, further comprising:

means for analyzing the structure of the target document; and

means for analyzing each of the electronic signatures of the structural elements of the target document to verify the target document having the generated electronic signature.

[Claim 9] An electronic signature apparatus according to claim 8, wherein said means for analyzing the electronic signature determine a rate of coincidence between the

target document and the target document with an electrical signature from a rate of structural elements having authenticated electronic signatures to the whole structure.

[Claim 10] An electronic signature apparatus comprising:

an electronic signature generator including:  
means for analyzing a target document to generate a representation having a structure;

means for generating an electronic signature from each of the generated structural elements; and

means for concatenating the generated electronic signatures into a single signature corresponding to the structure; and

an electronic signature analyzer including:  
means for analyzing a structure of the target document having the generated electronic signature; and  
means for analyzing the added electronic signatures.

[Detailed Description of the Invention]

[0001]

[Field of the Invention]

The present invention relates to electronic signature (digital signature) technology utilized to ensure authenticity of an electronic file, and more particularly, to electronic signature technology applied to an electronic file which contains a document having a structure.

[0002]

[Prior Art]

Electronic signature technology utilizes

cryptographic technology to certify that the contents of an electronic file have not been modified after an electronic signature was attached to the file. More specifically, for example, an electronic file or its digest is encrypted, and its encrypted value is sent together with the original electronic file to a recipient, who decrypts the encrypted value to see that the decrypted value is equal to the original electronic file or its digest, whereby it is certified that the contents of the original electronic file have not been modified.

[0003]

Although the aforementioned conventional electronic signature technology can verify content equivalence between an electronic file and its encrypted electronic file, it cannot be used to verify document structure equivalence between files when the files contain a document having a structure.

[0004]

Therefore, where there is document structure equivalence between electronic files although the electronic files are not equivalent to each other in terms of contents, the conventional technology can only verify that the contents of the electronic files do not match.

[0005]

Further, as the conventional technology can describe only two kinds of states, i.e., equivalent or not equivalent, there is no way of knowing exactly which part of a document structure is different between files and how

different they are, etc. when it transpires that the files are not equivalent.

[0006]

[Object of the Invention]

In view of the situation as mentioned above, it is an object of the present invention to provide electronic signature technology to be applied to an electronic file containing a document having a structure, according to which a level of equivalence such as electronic file equivalence, document structure equivalence, document structure partial equivalence, etc. can be evaluated.

[0007]

[Means for Solving the Problems]

To achieve the above object, the present invention provides a method and apparatus for attaching an electronic signature to an electronic file containing a document having a structure, wherein a signature is generated from each structural element of a target document.

[0008]

According to the electronic signature method of the present invention, first, a target document is analyzed to generate a representation having a structure and then, a signature is generated from each of the generated structural elements and the thus generated signatures (ciphers) are concatenated to form a single signature corresponding to the structure. A method of generating ciphers from each structural element does not have to be limited to any particular method, and any common cipher

generation method may be employed.

[0009]

Further, according to the electronic signature method of the present invention, an electronic file with a generated electronic signature is verified and depending on a processing request, at least (1) electronic file equivalence, (2) document structure equivalence and (3) a coincidence rate between electronic files are found from the contents of the signature.

[0010]

The electronic signature apparatus according to the present invention comprises electronic signature generator 11 and electronic signature analyzer 12, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The electronic signature generator 11 comprises parser unit 14 for analyzing target document 13 to generate a representation having a structure; cipher generator unit 15 for generating a signature from each of structural elements generated by the parser unit 14; and signature generator unit 16 for concatenating the generated signatures (ciphers) into a single signature corresponding to the structure of the document.

[0011]

The electronic signature analyzer 12 similarly comprises parser unit 18 and signature analyzer unit 19 in order to verify electronic file 17 having a generated electronic signature. The signature analyzer unit 19 has at least three functions to perform in response to a request 20 for processing, i.e. (1) function 21 of

verifying electronic file equivalence ; (2) function 22 of verifying document structure equivalence ; and (3) function 23 of deriving a coincidence rate.

[0012]

[Embodiment]

First, reference is made to a "document having a structure", which constitutes a subject of a method and apparatus of the present invention. A normal document consists of chapters, sections and paragraphs, which may be diagrammatically represented as a tree structure as illustrated in Fig. 2. The electronic signature method and apparatus according to the present invention are directed to an electronic file containing a document that can be represented in the form of such a tree structure.

[0013]

A file described in XML may be cited as an example of a document having such a tree structure. An example of an XML file is shown in Fig. 3.

In the shown example, the XML file contains information called "white space", that is, information about tab, line feed, etc. to represent indentation. Since XML permits the use of a white space in so far as the white space does not change a document structure, deletion of such information from this XML file does not affect its document structure per se. Fig. 4 shows the XML file with the white spaces being deleted, which is the same as the XML file shown in Fig. 3 in terms of a document structure. However, when these files in Figs. 3 and 4 are compared to

each other simply as files, they are considered to be different.

[0014]

Conventionally, whether or not two XML files are equivalent in terms of a document structure has been judged by analyzing them by means of an XML Parser, generating the result of the analysis in the form of DOM objects and comparing the thus generated DOM objects to see if they are equivalent. On the other hand, according to the electronic signature method and apparatus of the present invention, the files in Figs. 3 and 4 have different signature codes representing a file although they have the same signature codes representing a document structure. Thus, by employing the present method and apparatus, it is possible to learn from the signature codes that these files are different in file contents and yet equivalent in terms of a document structure.

[0015]

Fig. 5 shows an example of a signature of the aforementioned file and document structure. It is assumed here that Fig. 5 shows a result obtained by enciphering each structure element to be mapped to seventeen-digit decimal numerals. Next, a signature is generated based on the enciphered information. Fig. 6 shows a format for concatenating signatures to one another. In Fig. 6, a file signature code is a cipher indicative of coincidence in terms of a file, and "0xFF" is a delimiter of elements. Further, a depth code is a numerical value indicative of

how much of a tree structure is ciphered to be contained in a signature. More specifically, when a depth code is 0, it signifies that ciphers to represent all the structural elements of a tree structure are included in a signature. A node signature code is a code of each element. By adding the thus constructed signature to the file as a structure element of the document, a document with an electronic signature shown in Fig. 7 is obtained. In the example shown in Fig. 7, a signature node, i.e.<Signature> ... </Signature> is added, and a symbol "+" is used to concatenate character strings for the sake of clarity of a construction of a signature, and the thus concatenated character strings constitute a signature.

[0016]

The electronic signature apparatus according to the present invention may be built on a computer system 86 which comprises a CPU 81, a storage device 82, a file system 83, a display device 84 and an input device 85, as illustrated in Fig. 8. In the file system 83, documents having electric signature as their data are stored/managed. Since the location of each document is not relevant to the substance of the present invention, data may be placed in a database.

[0017]

In the system configuration as illustrated above, the electronic signature method and apparatus according to the present invention can treat a file stored in the file system 83 as a document having a structure and verify

whether an unauthorized modification has been made to the file and which portion of the structure has been modified if it transpires that there has been an unauthorized modification.

[0018]

As a specific example of the aforementioned verification, reference is now made to an application example where an unauthorized operation of a system is prevented by verifying which portion of a file has been modified.

According to the application example, a tool for automatically generating a configuration for accessing a database system generates a file containing an electronic signature, whereby a user is notified of an unauthorized modification on the file and the location of the unauthorized modification before access to the database system.

[0019]

Conventionally, a configuration file, which is automatically generated by a tool for automatically generating a configuration for accessing a database system, does not support a modification made to a file by means of a method other than the tool. Usually, information indicative of whether or not a modification has been made to a file by a method other than the tool is not attached to a file. Besides, even if a conventional electronic signature is attached to such a configuration file, it can only show that a file has been modified and which portion

of the file has been modified cannot be identified. Still further, as a conventional electronic signature can only verify that a file has been modified, even if the modification does not disadvantageously affect an operation in the light of structural information, it is still indicated by the signature that the modification has been unauthorized. Thus, processing performed by a conventional electronic signature is not adequate or thorough.

[0020]

Fig. 9 illustrates a system configuration of the above-described application example. Since the system illustrated in Fig. 9 comprises the system shown in Fig. 8 and database system 91, like numerals denote like components in Figs. 8 and 9. In order to connect the database system 91, an appropriate configuration must be provided. The system in Fig. 9 is provided with a tool (ConfigGenTool) 92 for automatically generating such a configuration by interacting with a user. The tool 92 requests a user to enter information necessary for accessing the database system and generates a configuration file on the basis of the thus input information. More specifically, the tool 92 verifies that the computer system can access the database system 91 by the configuration and generates a configuration file (Config.xml) 93. At the time of generation of the configuration file 93, a user can indicate whether or not to add an electronic signature of the present invention to the configuration file 93 and also choose a depth code of the electronic signature which

affects how extensively and strictly a structure of the file is to be covered by the electronic signature. Fig. 10 shows an example of the configuration file 93 to which an electronic signature is attached. In the example shown in Fig. 10, a signature of each structural element of a file and a signature of the file per se are both represented in seventeen-digit hexadecimal numbers.

[0021]

The thus generated configuration file 93 is referenced by a database system access module (DBAccessor) 94, which is activated when the computer system actually accesses the database system 91. In this event, when an electronic signature is included in the configuration file 93, the module 94 verifies its authenticity before it accesses the database system 91. When the configuration file 93 has been modified as shown in Fig. 11, the module 94 performs normal database access processing because the file of Fig. 11 coincides with the original file of Fig. 10 in terms of a structure, though the file of Fig. 11 is considered to be unauthorized in terms of a file coincidence. In other words, since the modification of the file in Fig. 10 to the file in Fig. 11 constitutes mere deletion of tabs and line feed codes, which are white spaces according to the XML specification, the files in Figs. 10 and 11 are equivalent in terms of XML.

[0022]

On the other hand, when the configuration file 93 has been modified as indicated by the underline in Fig. 12, the

module 94 can identify the modified portion and notify a user by displaying a message "The designated provider is not authorized", before starting access processing. Thus, by using an electronic signature of the present invention in a configuration file for accessing a database system, a portion that has become unauthorized as a result of modification can be specifically indicated, whereby an unauthorized access can be avoided.

[0023]

Further, the use of the electronic signature method and apparatus of the present invention enables determination as to whether each of structural elements of an electronic file containing a document having the above-described structure coincides with that of the original electronic file, whereby a coincidence rate or non-coincidence rate with respect to an entire structure as opposed to each structural element can be calculated and the system can be controlled with reference to the thus calculated rate.

[0024]

**[Effect of the Invention]**

As appreciated from the foregoing, according to the present electronic signature method and apparatus, electronic signatures can be extracted and compared, so that it becomes possible to verify equivalence between electronic files containing a document having a structure such as file equivalence and document structure equivalence and also find a coincidence rate between files.

[Brief Description of the Drawings]

[Fig. 1] A conceptual diagram illustrating an electronic signature apparatus and the flow of process performed thereby.

[Fig. 2] A diagram illustrating a tree structure of a document.

[Fig. 3] A diagram showing an example of a structure of an XML file.

[Fig. 4] A diagram showing an example of a structure of a file which is equivalent to the structure of file shown in Fig. 3 in terms of XML although they are different files.

[Fig. 5] A diagram showing a document and a cipher corresponding to each structural element of the document.

[Fig. 6] A diagram showing an example of a format for concatenating electronic signatures.

[Fig. 7] A diagram showing an XML file to which an electronic signature is attached.

[Fig. 8] A block diagram illustrating an example of a configuration of a system in which the present invention is reduced to practice.

[Fig. 9] A block diagram illustrating an example of a system configuration of an application example of the present invention.

[Fig. 10] A diagram showing an example of a configuration.xml file to which an electronic signature is added.

[Fig. 11] A diagram showing an example of modification


to a configuration.xml file.

[Fig. 12] A diagram showing another example of modification to a configuration.xml file.


[Explanation of Reference Marks]

11: Electronic Signature Generator  
12: Electronic Signature Analyzer  
13: Target Document  
14, 18: Parser Unit  
15: Cipher Generator Unit  
16: Signature Generator Unit  
17: Electronic File with Signature  
19: Signature Analyzer Unit  
20: Processing Request  
21: File Verification  
22: Structure Verification  
23: Coincidence Rate  
81: CPU  
82: Storage Device  
83: File System  
84: Display Device  
85: Input Device  
86: Computer System  
91: Database System  
92: ConfigGenTool  
93: Config.xml  
94: DBAccessor

[ Name of Document ] Drawings  
[ Fig. 1 ]



[ Fig. 2 ]



[ Fig. 3 ]

```
<? xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8" ?>
<Document>
    <Chapter 1>
        <Section 1>...</Section 1>
        <Section 2>...</Section 2>
    </Chapter 1>
    <Chapter 2>
        <Section 1>...</Section 1>
        <Section 2>...</Section 2>
        <Section 3>...</Section 3>
    </Chapter 2>
</Document>
```

[ Fig. 4 ]

```
<? xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8" ?>
<Document><Chapter 1><Sect.1>...</Sect.1><Sect.2>...</Sect.2></Chapter 1>
<Chapter 2><Sect.1>...</Sect.1><Sect.2>...</Sect.2><Sect.3>...</Sect.3></Chapter 2>
</Document>
```

[ Fig. 5 ]

```
<? xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8" ?>
<Document>
    <Chapter 1> -----→ 01424442344553994
        <Sect.1>...</Sect.1>-----→ 10458043242424234
        <Sect.2>...</Sect.2>-----→ 15357989849284423
    </Chapter 1>
    <Chapter 2> -----→ 01643544098078423
        <Sect.1>...</Sect.1>-----→ 10572839792742349
        <Sect.2>...</Sect.2>-----→ 17932032304804822
        <Sect.3>...</Sect.3>-----→ 15239759890098203
    </Chapter 2>
</Document>
```


[ Fig. 6 ]

File Signature Code	0xFF	Depth Code	0xFF	Node Signature Code (1)	0xFF	Node Signature Code (2)	...
---------------------	------	------------	------	-------------------------	------	-------------------------	-----


[ Fig. 7 ]

```
<? xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8" ?>
<Document>
    <Chapter 1>
        <Sect.1>...</Sect.1>
        <Sect.2>...</Sect.2>
    </Chapter 1>
    <Chapter 2>
        <Sect.1>...</Sect.1>
        <Sect.2>...</Sect.2>
        <Sect.3>...</Sect.3>
    </Chapter 2>
    <Signature>31233123125443242+0xFF+0x00+01424442344553994
+0xFF+10458043242424234+0xFF+15357989849284423+0xFF+016435440
98078423+0xFF+10572839792742349+0xFF+17932032304804822+0xFF+1
5239759890098203</Signature>
</Document>
```

[ Fig. 8 ]



[ Fig. 9 ]



[ Fig. 10 ]

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<OLEDBSetting>
    <Provider>SQLOLEDB.1</Provider>
    <IntegratedSecurity>SSPI</IntegratedSecurity>
    <PersistSecurityInfo>False</PersistSecurityInfo>
    <InitialCatalog>Northwind</InitialCatalog>
    <DataSource>DARKSTAR</DataSource>
    <UseProcedureforPrepare>1</UseProcedureforPrepare>
    <AutoTranslate>True</AutoTranslate>
    <PacketSize>4096</PacketSize>
    <WorkstationID>DARKSTAR</WorkstationID>
    <Signature>032423afb432ef432ff00ff153453adb432e532ff
f1443f0988fe080809ff153452f4b2ed42304ff1543409888d8eba34ff10
98043242a988edbff1143298ef0a0b0cd0ff18aaadbc443298753ff15432
42d9009e7bc3ff125438a0f0d0e0083</Signature>
</OLEDBSetting>
```

[ Fig. 11 ]

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<OLEDBSetting><Provider>SQLOLEDB.1</Provider><IntegratedSecurity>SSPI</IntegratedSecurity><PersistSecurityInfo>False</PersistSecurityInfo><InitialCatalog>Northwind</InitialCatalog><DataSource>DARKSTAR</DataSource><UseProcedureforPrepare>1</UseProcedureforPrepare><AutoTranslate>True</AutoTranslate><PacketSize>4096</PacketSize><WorkstationID>DARKSTAR</WorkstationID><Signature>032423afb432ef432ff00ff153453adb432e532fff1
443f0988fe080809ff153452f4b2ed42304ff1543409888d8eba34ff1098
043242a988edbff1143298ef0a0b0cd0ff18aaadbc443298753ff1543242
d9009e7bc3ff125438a0f0d0e0083</Signature>
</OLEDBSetting>
```

[ Fig. 12 ]

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<OLEDBSetting>
    <Provider>Microsoft.Jet.OLEDB.4.0</Provider>
    <IntegratedSecurity>SSPI</IntegratedSecurity>
    <PersistSecurityInfo>False</PersistSecurityInfo>
    <InitialCatalog>Northwind</InitialCatalog>
    <DataSource>DARKSTAR</DataSource>
    <UseProcedureforPrepare>1</UseProcedureforPrepare>
    <AutoTranslate>True</AutoTranslate>
    <PacketSize>4096</PacketSize>
    <WorkstationID>DARKSTAR</WorkstationID>
    <Signature>032423afb432ef432ff00ff153453adb432e532ff
f1443f0988fe080809ff153452f4b2ed42304ff1543409888d8eba34ff10
98043242a988edbff1143298ef0a0b0cd0ff18aaadbc443298753ff15432
42d9009e7bc3ff125438a0f0d0e0083</Signature>
</OLEDBSetting>
```

[Name of Document] Abstract

[Abstract]

[Object]

An electronic signature technology for attaching an electronic signature to an electronic file containing a document having a structure is provided, wherein a level of electronic file equivalence, a level of document structure equivalence and a level of document structure partial equivalence can be set and evaluated.

[Means for Solving the Object]

A target document is analyzed to generate a representation having a structure. Next, a signature is generated from each of the generated structural elements, and the generated signatures (ciphers) are concatenated into a single signature corresponding to the structure. Also, the electronic file having the generated electronic signature is verified to find from the contents of the signatures, at least (1) electronic file equivalence; (2) document structure equivalence; and (3) a coincidence rate, depending on a processing request.

[Selected Drawing] Fig. 1